



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,316		03/20/2001	John W. Garrett	2000-0184E	2922
26652	7590	05/03/2005		EXAM	INER
AT&T CO	ORP.		CLARK, ISAAC R		
P.O. BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2154	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/812,316	GARRETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isaac R Clark	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the down will expire SIX (6) Months and will expire SIX (6) Months are the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	5 February 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/25/2005		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 04162005				



Application/Control Number: 09/812,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 and 2 are presented for examination.

2. The applicant's amendment dated 02/25/2005 has been fully considered.

## **Priority**

- 3. This application claims priority to Provisional Application No. 60/190633 filed on 03/20/2000 and Provisional Application No. 60/190636 filed on 03/20/2000.
- 4. The effective filing date for the subject matter in the pending claims in this application is 03/20/2000.

#### Response to Amendment

- 5. The objection to the drawings made in the last office action has been withdrawn based on the replacement drawings submitted by Applicant.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wang (US 6,385,169 B1).

Application/Control Number: 09/812,316 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

9. As per claim 1, Wang discloses a method of operating an access network infrastructure connecting customers to a plurality of service networks (Fig. 1), comprising: sharing bandwidth between the service networks by allocating separate channels to each of the service networks (col. 4, lines 36-45; for the backbone ISP, each separate ISP is treated as a user on the shared infrastructure; col. 4, lines 4-10; ISPs are allocated shares or channels based on bandwidth agreements); and allowing each service network to allocate a channel from its allocated channels to a customer based on quality measurements of the separate channels (col. 4, lines 4-10; ISP users are allocated channels based on their bandwidth requirements and on bandwidth measurements).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/812,316

Art Unit: 2154

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 12. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (US 6,385,169 B1) in view of Brown (US 6,678,273 B1).
- 13. As per claim 2, Wang discloses a method of operating an access network infrastructure connecting customers to a plurality of service networks, comprising: sharing bandwidth between the service networks by allocating separate channels to each of the service networks (col. 4, lines 36-45; for the backbone ISP, each separate ISP is treated as a user on the shared infrastructure; col. 4, lines 4-10; ISPs are allocated shares or channels based on bandwidth agreements); and allowing each service network to allocate a channel from its allocated channels to a customer based on quality measurements of the separate channels (col. 4, lines 4-10; ISP users are allocated channels based on their bandwidth requirements and on bandwidth measurements).
- 14. Wang fails to explicitly teach relaying packets from customers to their service networks using hardware address bridging so that the service networks can manage layer three operations.
- 15. Brown teaches relaying packets from customers to their service networks using hardware address bridging so that the service networks can manage layer three operations (col. 5, lines 35-45; col. 8, lines 35-44).
- 16. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of W and Brown to operating a service

Application/Control Number: 09/812,316

Art Unit: 2154

network connected to an access network infrastructure shared with other service networks using hardware address bridging so that the service networks can manage layer three operations because they both deal with access to multiple service providers connected to an access network infrastructure. Furthermore, the teaching of Brown to use hardware bridging would allow organizing arbitrary groups of LAN users into virtual LANS (VLAN) thus allowing the segregation of data thus increasing security for proprietary information (Brown col. 2, lines 28-33).

#### Conclusion

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac R. Clark whose telephone number is (571)272-3961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571)272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LARRY D. DONAGHUR

**IRC**